

This project, in conjunction with the other three (and other smaller projects) planned in the region surrounding Gainsborough will be a gross intrusion to the landscape and community and is insensible in its use of our high demand land. During a time our nation is seeing a cost of living crises, it is inconceivable how it could be thought that using food producing land is the best place to target for the installation of over 10,000 solar panels. There are better, less intrusive places for solar panels - on roofs, which are already connected to the grid. This project must be considered alongside the other solar farm proposals to understand the impact of industrialising a rural community that is so easily 'ignored' and lacks the financial power to really face up to the giants pushing this through.

This solar project will transform the landscape, it will affect the countryside as it is now, reduce our food producing ability and offers no compensation to those it will affect most. The use of batteries concerns me due the sheer amount and relative new technology. The potential for land contaminants with these sort of technologies would hinder any return to future agricultural activities.

Argument aside for why this project should be on this specific sort of land, these solar panels will coming from China, as other solar farm companies have demonstrated- as per the discussion in parliament - they're made using [REDACTED], a high energy manufacturing process and shipped across the world. Whilst we maybe aiming for net zero, there will be minimal world wide CO2 saving which is what actually matters.